# Post-Shot Report: Measurement of Be sound speed on shock Hugoniot, Sept. 26 – Oct. 14 at Trident laser‡

Sheng-Nian Luo $\S,$  Damian C. Swift  $\parallel$  and Eric Loomis

P-24 Plasma Physics, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544, USA

(Dated: 27 January 2006)



## 1. Objectives

- Primary: Melting conditions of Be under laser illumination Shock sound-speed measurement of Be on Be principal Hugoniot to identify solidsolid and melting transitions.
- Opportunistic

Continued investigations of dynamic responses of materials addressing relevant technical and scientific issues, such as phase transitions in carbon and ceramics silica stishovite, and elastic/plastic behavior in Cu, NiAl and Fe (110) mosaic subjected to high strain rate loading.

# 2. Schedule

- Start of access and setup: Sept. 26–Oct. 3, 2005.
- First shot: Oct. 4, 2005.
- Last shot: Oct. 14, 2005.

## 3. Materials

• Be foil: punched from Be foils, diameter of  $\sim 3$  mm; nominal thickness 25  $\mu$ m should be 29(1)  $\mu$ m, and 50  $\mu$ m be 59(1)  $\mu$ m. Be disk was glued and pressed

```
∥ dswift@lanl.gov.
```

<sup>‡</sup> LA-UR-06-0530.

 $<sup>\</sup>S$  sluo@lanl.gov.

against the aluminized surface of a LiF window of 2 mm thickness (MST-7 and Swift).

- Cu: GoodFellow (50  $\mu$ m thickness). Primarily for testing setup and lasers.
- Carbon black: powder (Prof. O. Tschauner, UNLV).
- Diamond: powder (Prof. O. Tschauner, UNLV).
- NiAl single crystals: (110) and (111) orientations (MST-8; leftover from previous Koskelo LDRD).
- Stishovite: disk embedded in crystal bond (Caltech).
- Fe: (110) mosaic of  $35(1) \ \mu m$  thickness (H. Lorenzana, LLNL).
- Si: 640  $\mu$ m (GoodFellow).

#### 4. Experimental

The basic experimental aspects are essentially the same as previous dynamic materials campaigns at Trident. Some details omitted here can be found in our previous post-shot reports (e.g. LA-UR-04-2603, LA-UR-04-1508, LA-UR-04-1475 and LA-UR-05-5643).



**Figure 1.** Schematic experimental setup for VISAR and TXD measurements at Trident. A-beam and TXD were not used in this campaign.

- Schematic layout: see Fig. 1.
- Laser drive conditions
  - Trident laser: Nd:YLF with a fundamental wavelength of 1054 nm.
  - A-beam: 2.4-ns duration, 527 nm, 100–200 J for transient x-ray diffraction.
     A-beam drives the x-ray backlighter (e.g. 6-μm Ti foil at the tip of the gold cone) from nearly due west. Not used.
  - B-beam: 2.4-ns duration, 527 nm, 1–250 J for shock wave loading. B-beam irradiates the sample from the northeast port (35° from east and 6° from sample normal, as defined by the axis set up for the VISAR: 41° south of west).
  - Laser spot: 1.4 mm and 4 mm in diameter (Table 1). Diffractive optical elements were used where possible to smooth large-scale spatial variations in beam intensity. Generally these phase plates were not ideal for the desired uniformity or spot size, so the drive beam was defocused slightly.

Spot dia. (mm)	Phase plate	Defocus (in)	Comments	
4.0	Fresnel zone	0.600	4-mm design spot defocused to remove central hotspot	
1.4	2-mm hexagonal	0.339	0.6-mm design spot defocused for better power control and easier alignment	

Table 1. Driving laser spot on the sample.



Figure 2. An example of laser spot (B-beam) on the sample surface (driving side). The laser spot is about 1.4 mm (the red circle).

Table 2. Timing the Hamamatsu camera for VISAR

weep (ns)	$t_1$ (ns)	$t_2$ (ns)	$t_3$ (ns)	$t_4$ (ns)
50	2992.0	2982.0	2972.0	2962.0
20	3033.0	3028.0	3023.0	3018.0
10	3043.0	3040.0	3038.0	3035.0
5	3051.5	3050.5	3049.5	3048.5
2	3057.5	3057.0	-	-

## • VISAR

#### – Line-imaging VISAR:

S

Forsman type. Two VPF's (velocity per fringe) of 4.95 and 0.8 km s<sup>-1</sup> are available using two SF6 etalons of different lengths for the 660-nm probe laser. (VPF of 4.95 km s<sup>-1</sup> was used in this campaign.) A focusing lens was placed in the in tank, 20 mm from target chamber center (TCC) to focus incident probe laser.

A depolarizer for probe laser beam was adopted on Oct. 13, 2005, intended to improve sample reflectivity after shock breakout, thus fringe on the record. No significant improvement was noticed, though.

- Timing of probe laser: As a typical setup, probe laser was timed using DG535 at 546,330 ns for VISAR streak camera at 2982 ns for the 50-ns sweep.
- Timing of Hamamatsu streak camera: Table 2.
- Spatial calibration of Hamamatsu streak camera: Fig. 3.



Figure 3. Spatial calibration for VISAR streak camera. The hole in the alignment target is of  $115-\mu m$  diameter.

 Temporal calibration of Hamamatsu streak camera: using fiducial pulses at 1.71-ns separation with mirror spacing of 10.09" (compared to 1.69 ns previously.)

## 5. Shot log and statistics

See Table 3 for the shot log. The shot statistics is:

- total: 41 (38 VISAR shots, and 3 recovery only).
- Be, 29 µm, VISAR: 6
- Be, 59 µm, VISAR: 7
- Cu, 50 µm, VISAR: 14
- NiAl single crystals, VISAR: 6
- Si, VISAR: 1
- Carbon black, recovery: 2
- Silica stishovite, recovery: 1
- Fe (110) mosaic, VISAR: 4

We encountered appreciable delay in realigning VISAR after changing the design, and reasonable Trident laser problem.

## 6. Preliminary evaluation

- It remains open why the VISAR fringe shift was not obvious after shock breakout. Possible reasons are dramatic reflectivity drop upon shock, or the extreme sensitivity of the current VISAR design to changes in reflectivity. However, the interference between the reflection from the sample–window interface and that from the uncoated window surface induces "ripples" in the VISAR recording, and thus can be used to deduce velocities as pointed out by Swift. The could be potentially an important technique as a complement to or replacement of VISAR (fringe shift). In most cases, we have observed decent ripples with temporal spacing varying with shock and release.
- Several decent shock recovery of spalled Cu foils subjected to 10 to 70-J laser illumination. An example is shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4. SEM image of the spall surface of laser-illuminated 50- $\mu$ m Cu foil, recovered from shot #17977 (B beam , 19 J and 2.4 ns duration).

## 7. Summary

This Trident compaign was ablation-driven shock experiments to study the response of condensed matter. The objectives were to develop a release wave-speed technique for detecting melting, to improve wide-angle x-ray diffraction techniques, and to obtain additional material response measurements to prepare datasets for publication. We shocked Be samples up to 200 GPa and observed the interface between the sample and a LiF window using line-VISAR. The VISAR record was found to contain fortuitous signals from the displacement history, as well as the usual velocity history record. The VISAR signal was poor after shock breakout at high pressures. Data were obtained on the elastic-plastic transition in NiAl and Fe crystals, and the samples were recovered. Data were obtained on spallation in Cu, with samples recovered. Samples of stishovite and C were shocked and recovered, for studies of phase change dynamics. Problems were experienced with target support in the 3rd week of the run, with the capture of the TRIDENT drive pulse, and with target alignment scopes. A phase plate for a 1-mm drive spot, promised on loan from LLNL, was not made available in time.

## Acknowledgments

- Sponsorship by the Inertial Confinement Fusion program at LANL (C10: HEDP) through N M Hoffman, A A Hauer and C W Barnes.
- Trident laser facility and staff, R Johnson, T Hurry, N Okamoto, T Ortiz, R Gonzales, F Archuleta, D Pacheco and S Reid, for target area and laser support.
- MST-7 (R Perea, A Nobile and coworkers) for help with target fabrication.

Table 3: SHOT LOG. C-sweep: Hamamatsu camera sweep; PL-trig: probe laser trigger timing. The laser spot is 1.4 mm unless stated otherwise.

Shot	Laser	VISAR	Remarks		
#, sample	A, B, C	Setup $(ns)$	*******		
October 4, 2005					
17974	B: 36 J	C-sweep: 50	nonuniform breakout, no fringes		
Cu	70 - 100%	C-trig: 2972	a thru hole in sample		
$50 \mu { m m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340			
17975	B: 20 J	C-sweep: 50	nonuniform breakout, no fringes		
Cu	70 - 100%	C-trig: 2982	partly thru hole		
$50 \mu { m m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340			
17976	B: 9 J	C-sweep: 50	no breakout, no fringes		
Cu	70 - 100%	C-trig: 2982	spall in sample		
$50 \mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340	too weak a shot for this VPF?		
	D ( T	<b>a F</b>			
17977	B: 19 J	C-sweep: 50	no breakout, no fringes		
Cu	70–100%	C-trig: 2982	nice spall in sample		
$50\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340	too weak a shot for this VPF?		
17070	D. 54 I	C groups 50	uniform brookout no fringes		
1/9/8 Cu	B: 54 J	C-sweep: 50	uniform breakout, no fringes		
EQ.um	70-100%	O-trig: 2982 DL trim: 550.240			
$50\mu$ m	ramping	FL-011g: 000,040			
17979	B: 25 J	C-sweep: 50	breakout, no clear fringes		
Cu	70-100%	C-trig: 2982	a thru hole in sample		
$50\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550.340			
0.07	P0	8			
October 5, 20	05				
17981	B: 38 J	C-sweep: 50	uniform breakout, no fringes		
Cu	70 - 100%	C-trig: 2972			
$50 \mu { m m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340			
17984	B: 54 J	C-sweep: 50	breakout, fringes (unclear)		
Si (100)	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3072			
$640 \mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,440			
17985	B: 25 J	C-sweep: 50	uniform breakout, fringe shift		
Cu	70–100%	C-trig: 2972	improved		
$50 \mu { m m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340			
47000	DOOT				
1/986	B: 28 J	C-sweep: 50	no visar probe laser		
$\frac{\text{NiAl}(110)}{200}$	/0-100% ·	O-trig: 3003	blank record		
$206 \mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,371	no recovery		
1		1			

continued on next page

1	c	•	
continued	from	previous	page

Shot	Laser	VISAR	Remarks
#	A, B, C	Setup $(ns)$	*******
17987	B: 12 J	C-sweep: 50	uniform breakout, fringe shift
Cu	70 - 100%	C-trig: 2982	
$50 \mu { m m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340	
October 6, 200	)5		
17988	B: 20 J	C-sweep: 50	uniform breakout, no fringes
Cu	70 - 100%	C-trig: 2982	one visar beam blocked
$50 \mu { m m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340	nice spall
17989	B: 72 J	C-sweep: 50	shock recovery
Cu, $100\mu m$	70 - 100%	C-trig: 2972	mostly recovered
graphite	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340	
UNLV		<i>2</i>	
17990	B: 31 J	C-sweep: 50	fair breakout, fringes
Cu	70–100%	C-trig: 2982	
$50\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340	
17001	D 00 I	<u>20</u>	
17991	B: 33 J	C-sweep: 20	no visar
Cu	70–100% ·	C-trig: $3025$	abnormal sample geometry
$25\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: $550,380$	unsuccessful visar alignment
17000	D. 20 I	<u>O</u> 20	good B-pulse recording
17992	B: 39 J	C-sweep: 20	no breakout
Cu 50	70-100%	O-trig: 3028	
$50\mu \mathrm{m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,540	
17003	B: 25 I	C-sween: 20	breakout and fringes
$N_{i}Al(110)$	70-100%	C-trig: $3063$	breakout and minges
200 <i>u</i> m	ramping	PL-trig: 550 340	
200µ111	ramping	1 L ting. 000,010	
October 11. 20	005	l	1
17994	B: 23 J	C-sweep: 50	uniform breakout, no fringes?
Cu	70 - 100%	C-trig: 2982	, 6
$50 \mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,340	
,	1 0	0 /	
17995	B: 23 J	C-sweep: 20	ringing wiggles
NiAl (110)	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3058	energy seems lower
$213 \mu \mathrm{m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,370	from recovery
PMMA-win			
17996	B: 95 J	C-sweep: 10	ringing wiggles, breakout
Be foil	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3042	
$29(1)\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,354	
LiF, 2mm win			
17997	B: 95 J	C-sweep: 10	ringing wiggles, breakout
Be foil	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3042	fringes
$29(1)\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,354	

continued on next page

	<i>(</i> <b>·</b>	•	
continued	from	previous	page

#         A, B, C         Setup (ns)         ************************************	***
LiF, 2mm win	
17998 B: 106 J C-sweep: 10 ringing wiggles, breakout	
Be foil 70–100% C-trig: 3042	
$59(1)\mu m$ ramping PL-trig: $550,354$	
LiF, 2mm win	
October 12, 2005	
17999 B: 41 J C-sweep: 20 breakout	
NiAl (110) 70–100% C-trig: 3058	
$192\mu m$ ramping PL-trig: 550,370	
LiF, 2mm win	
18000 B: 178 J C-sweep: 10 probe laser NOT triggered	
Be foil 70–100% C-trig: 3042	
$29(1)\mu m$ ramping PL-trig: 550,370	
LiF, 2mm win	
18001 B: 159 J C-sweep: 20 ringing wiggles, fringes	
NiAl (111) 70–100% C-trig: 3058	
$208\mu m$ ramping PL-trig: 550,370	
PMMA-win	
18002 B: 165 J C-sweep: 10 breakout, ripples	
Be foil 70–100% C-trig: 3042	
$29(1)\mu m$ ramping PL-trig: 550,335	
LiF, 2mm win	
18003B: 166 JC-sweep: 10breakout, ripples	
Be foil 70–100% C-trig: 3044	
$59(1)\mu m$ ramping PL-trig: 550,335	
LiF, 2mm win	
18004 B: 203 J C-sweep: 10 breakout, ripple not clear	
Be foil $70-100\%$ C-trig: $3044$	
$29(1)\mu m$ ramping PL-trig: 550,335	
LiF, 2mm win	
18005 B: 196 J C-sweep: 10 breakout, ripples	
Be foil $70-100\%$ C-trig: $3044$	
$\frac{59(1)\mu m}{1.5}$ ramping PL-trig: 550,335	
LiF, 2mm win	
October 13, 2005	
18006 B: 150 J C-sweep: recovered	
Stishovite 70–100% C-trig: no VISAR	
Calteen ramping PL-trig:	
18007 B: 31 J C-sweep: 20 fringes, ripples	
NiAl (110) 70–100% C-trig: 3058	
$204\mu m$ ramping PL-trig: 550.350	
LiF-win	
18008 B: 180 J C-sweep: recovered	
Graphite 70–100% C-trig: no VISAR	

continued on next page

1	c	•	
continued	from	previous	page

Shot	Laser	VISAR	Remarks
#	A, B, C	Setup (ns)	*******
$100 \mu m Cu$	ramping	PL-trig:	
UNLV		_	
18009	B: 211 J	C-sweep: 10	tilted breakout, ripples
Be foil	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3044	
$59(1)\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,335	
LiF, 2mm win			
October 14, 20	005		·
18010	B: 140 J	C-sweep: 10	tilted breakout, ripples
Be foil	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3044	no pulse shape
$29(1)\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,335	
LiF, 2-mm win			
18011	B: 127 J	C-sweep: 10	tilted breakout, ripples
Be foil	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3044	no pulse shape
$59(1)\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,335	
LiF, 2-mm win			
18012	B: 222 J	C-sweep: 10	tilted breakout, ripples
Be foil	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3044	
$59(1)\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,335	
LiF, 2-mm win			
18013	B: 245 J	C-sweep: 10	tilted breakout, ripples
Be foil	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3044	
$59(1)\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,335	
LiF, 2-mm win			
18014	B: 52 J	C-sweep: 20	Fresnel 4-mm spot
Fe, LLNL	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3033	(110) mosaic Fe
$35(1)\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,330	tilted breakout
LiF, 2-mm win			
18015	B: 107 J	C-sweep: 20	Fresnel 4-mm spot
Fe, LLNL	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3035	(110) mosaic Fe
$35(1)\mu\mathrm{m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,330	breakout, ripples, $1/4$ fringe
LiF, 2-mm win			
18016	B: 152 J	C-sweep: 20	Fresnel 4-mm spot
Fe, LLNL	70 - 100%	C-trig: 3037	(110) mosaic Fe
$35(1)\mu\mathrm{m}$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,330	breakout, ripples, $1/4$ fringe
LiF, 2-mm win			
18017	B: 210 J	C-sweep: 20	Fresnel 4-mm spot
Fe, LLNL	70–100%	C-trig: 3036	(110) mosaic Fe
$35(1)\mu m$	ramping	PL-trig: 550,335	breakout, ripples
LiF, 2-mm win			